ANNUAL STATEME of The Pacific States Sa Loan Company, of San	vings and	IN.
Cal.		Eb
For the year ending July 3 The amount of authorized of	capi-	
tal\$25. The par value of each share The number of share sold	100 60 dur-	T
ing the year The numbers of shares cance	2-70-0-27-327-1	
and withdrawn during the present year	4,899	Fr
The number of shares now force	in 31,062	
Receipts Cash on hand last report	2,187 11	M
Mortgage loans repaid in reg	gu- 320,757 85	Al
Real Estate sold Received for monthly dues	86,226 '95	ar
installment shares Received for paid-up stock	201,494 27 49,780 00	mi be
Received for Interest	139,692 70	ye
Received for fines Received for transfer fees	3,085 05 11 75	ap
-Bills receivable	33,731 00	to
Rents	10,000 00 5,821 74	the
Profits on real estate sold	7,577 50	no by
Ordinary deposits Expense fund collections	52,440 81 2,293 78	me
Agents expense fund and Ins	sur-	un
ance commissions Attorney fees and foreclost	534 62	19 the
expense	1,428 05	wl
Personal and Temporary ac-	47.248 35	co
Insurance premiums	1,047 14	an
Incomplete loans Total	17,891 33 983,850 01	be
Disbursements		co
Loans on Mortgages Loans on association stock	148,875.00 30,684,60	m
Interest on borrowed money		in:
Dues repaid on matured an surrendered shares	d 285,107 50	of
Profits repaid on matured		th
surrendered shares Withdrawals of paid-up	85,950 97	po be
stocks	44,510 00 13,358 60	ju
posits	3,349 29	Ju
Cost of collections Expenses including calaries		T
and attorney fees Incomplete loans	29,806 63 57,670 45	Ju Or
Paid bills payable Real estate taken on forecle	55,000 00	in
ure and deed	15,510 19	wi
Real estate of members Repairs and insurance pren		A
ums on real estate Profit and loss (settlement	281 (1) of	Ci
Ordinary deposits	2,370 21 46,696 29	m
Discount	431 50	lei
Insurance premiums	1,549 99 11,184 55	w
Personal and temporary ac-	11-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-0	se
Cash on hand	45,735 57 102,899 80	si
Total	983,850 91	up
Cash on hand	102,899 80	to
Leans on mertgage secur- ities 1	.219.469 92	Pi
Real estate Keal estate purchased for	16,679 68	fe
members	22,942 62 488 57	th
Attorney fees (foreclosure	ex-	cc
pense)	mi-	ru
Personal and temporary ac-		ju
Furniture and fixtures	2,426 05 1,000 00	
Bills receivable (loans on collateral)	15,120 Co	sl
Arrears	16,267 35 ,398,699 84	ot
Running steck and divi-	972 214 31	di
Paid-up stock and divi-	973.314 13	p
dends	265,398 15 71,973 97	tl
Advance installments, class A, C and D	7 414 50	p
Dues on installments loans	17 391 33	16
Mortgage taxes undisbursed	2.199 51	W

OFFICIAL COUNT OF STATE FUNDS. STATE OF NEVADA.

-0-0-

Pacific States Savings & Loan Co.

By Wm. S. PARDY, Asst. Secy.

Total 1,398,699 84

36,934 / 3

2,435 20

10.927 75

County of Ormsby, s. s. W. G. Douglas, and James G. Sweeney, being duly sworn,

Contingent fund

Temporary accounts

balance expense fund ac-

count

Undivided profits (arrears on

say they are members of the Board of Examiners of the State of Nev., that on the 29th day of Jan. '95 they, (after having ascertained from the books of the State Controller the amount of money that should be in the Treasury) made an offcial examination and count of the money and vouchers for money in the State Treasury of Nevada and found the same correct as follows:

Cain \$288,280 54 Paid coin vonchers not returned to Controller 111,112 18

Total	399,392 92
State School Fund	Securities.
Irredeemable Nevada	State
School bure	380,000 00
Mass. State 3 pro cen	t
bonds	537,000 00
Nevada State Bonds	205,700 00
Mass. State 31/2 per c	ent
bonds	313,000 00
United States Bonds	215 000 00
Total	2.098.092 92
W. G	. Douglass
Tamos C	Quinoneu

Subscribed and sworn before me this 29th day of January, A. D. 1906. J. Doane.

Notary Public, Ormsvy County, Nev. Two quartz wagons, one wood and one low wheel wagon, also harness for

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA. enezer Twaddle and Ebenezer Twaddle as Special Admr., of the Estate of Alexander Twaddle, deceased. Plaintiffs and Respondents

neodore Winters, A. C. Winters, L W. Winters and Samuel Longabaugh, Defendants and Appellants

rom 2d Judicial District Court, Washoe County. essrs. Cheney and Massey, attorneys

for Plaintiffs. Ifred Chartz, attorney for Defend-

DECISION The respondents have moved to disiss the appeal from the judgment cause it was not taken within one ar, and to dismiss the appeal from e order of the district court denying pellants motion for a new trial, also strike from the records the stateent on motion for a new trial, upon e ground that the statement was t filed within the time prescribed law. The appeal from the judgent is dismissed because not taken til March, 1905,, more than one ear after its rendition on June 23, 03. On that day Judge Curler of e Second Judicial District court ho had tried the case at Reno and ndered the decree, made in open ourt and had entered in the minutes order "that all business and all ses and proceedings that have not en completed or in the process of mpletion, and all new business that ay be brought before the court durg the absence of the presiding judge, referred to Judge M. A. Murphy the first judicial district court of e State of Nevada, and that he be quested to try, determine and disese of all cases and buriness now fore the court in the absence of the dge of this district."

Pursuant to this request Judge Muray occupied the bench in Reno until alv 31, 1903, when a recess was tak- ment. until a further order of the court. here was no other session until adge Curler's return on August 17th. n July 17th, Judge Murphy, in open ourt in Reno, made an order allowg plaintiff until August 15th in hich to file objection to findings. nd prepare additional findings.. On ugust 3d Judge Murphy at Carson efendants until September 15, 1903, ithin which to prepare, file and usually made out of court. erve their notice and statement on otion for a new trial. Later exteahether they are effectual depends statement is denied. oon this order, which respondents aim Judge Murphy was unauthorized make under Section 197 of the ractice Act which provides in regard notices and statements on motions or new trial that "the several periods time limited may be enlarged by e written agreement of the parties, upon good cause shown by the ourt, or the judge before whom the ase is tried," and under district court ale XLIII which directs that "no idge, except the judge having charge f the cause or proceeding shall grant urther time to plead, move, or do any ct or thing required to be done in ny cause or proceeding, unless it be hown by affidavit that such judge is bsent from the state, or from some

ther cause is unable to act." Rule XLI provides: "When any istrict judge shall have entered upon he trial or hearing of any cause or proceeding demurrer or motion, or nade any ruling, order or decision herein, no other judge shall do any ect or thing in or about said cause. roceeding, demurrer or motion, unless upon written request of the judge who shall have first entered upon the trial or hearing of said cause, proceeding demurrer or motion."

Section 2543 of the Compiled laws. passed after section 197 of the Practrict judges of the State of Nevada the building and decay or saw and shall possess equal coextensive and concurrent jurisdiction and power. They shall each have power to hold court in any county of the State. the powers, duties and functions of the court, and of Judges thereof, and of Judges at Chambers. Each judge which may be done in chambers at flume about that time, and that these any point within the State. All of were aparently in the same place and this section is subject to the provi- of about the same capacity as at sions that each judge may direct and present. control the business in his own district, and shall see that it it properly

performed " We think under the minute order and circumstances related, the power inherent in Judge Curler to extend the time of filing the notice and statement became conferred upon Judge Murphy during the former's absence, Judge in charge, endowed with the authority to grant the extension without the presentation of the affidavit showing the absence or inability of Judge

Curler, as the rule requires before the order can be made by a Judge not having the business in charge. Judge Curer's absence was presumed to continue until his return was to extend and complete the use of the shown and consequently Judge Murphy's authority based upon that absence would likewise continue. It is gated was increased. The lower said that under the first statute mentioned, the language that "the court or judge before whom the case was tried" may extend the time invalidates the order because Judge Murphy was not the judge before whom it was tried, and that he was not the court after he returned to Carson City, where he made the order. In a nar row technical sence this may be true. if we do not look beyond the strict letter of the statute. But not so if six horses. House, barn and five lets we consider the intent and purpose of

light of reason as applied to the or- at the t. ently the object of this legislation was bon in

der this contention if he had stepped age and dispute. through the door into the chambers By cons o' t'e parties in open are business usually, or properly as a witners for the defendants, viewtransacted in chambers and under ed the premises and made measure-Section 2573 can and ought to be ments. At the point of least carrymade as effectually in any part of the ing capacity of the upper Twaddle State by the judge having the case in ditch, which is the old square flume charge, as if made by him in cham-near the Bowers' Wansion and grave, bers or in open court. Judge Murphy he measured the flow at 184 inches was merely acting for Judge Curler and the water lacked more than two during his vacation, but by analogy inches of reaching the top. A surthe construction claimed, if adopted, veyor had testified for the plaintiffs would, in every case where a district that its capacity was 182 inches at judge dies, resigns or is succeeded, this point, and that the capacity of under section 197 made out of court nearer the head of the ditch which the expense of maintaining said by his sucessor in office, although had been impaired by age and abandithe to be paid by each in days from the filing hereof, a written they are of that character ordinarily doned, and supplanted by a new V granted in chamoers. This would flume built above the old one by the It will be noted that this language mean a distinction and two rules for plaintiffs in 190°, was 150 inches. At does not purport to grant any water, ches, or 3 34-50 cubic feet per second filing orders of the same kind, this point the judge found that 181 but rather the right to convey water and that the judge who had tried the cause as Judge Curler had done in ured below about filled the new V third interest in the ditch with at this instance, could make the order in chambers, while his successor could flume would carry from 200 to 300 in- running in it water which Lake had, him, and would have to be in court to make these simple orders extending time in actions which had been previously tried by another judge.

Appellants desired and were entirled to the time granted for the pur-State, a transcript of the testimony pressure or 3 24-50 cubic feet per secgiven on the trial, which would ently ond from April 15th to Nov. ble them to preperly prepare the state-

Under Section 2573 Judge Curler them the extension at any place in ness for him, we conclude that he was empowered to make the order at Carson City as he did, and as Judge Curler could have done, and that it was through which the water flowed prior ity, and within his own first judi- ler could have done, and that it was al district, by an ex parte order not necessary for him to make the trip ade without affidavit of Judge Cur- to Reno and undergo the formality of r's absence or inability, granted the opening court to enter ex parte orders simply extending time, such as are

The motion to dismiss the appeal from the order overruling the motion ! ions were made by Judge Curler, but for a new trial and to strike out the

ON THE ME..ITS

This action was brought by Alexander Twaddle in his life time and by ches in his measurement and that of ditch. riated by their grantors in the year 1856 "by means of dams, ditches and a flume" for the irrigation of their ranch containing 203.92 acres in Washoe county. The answer denies the allegation of the complaint sets up the ownership by the defendant Winters, of a tract of land obut one mile wide and two miles long, and alleges appropia .ens by them or their grantors aggregating 600 inches flowing under a four inch pressure, by the year 1861, which are stated to be prior to any diversion of the water by the plaintiffs, and asserts a claim for 12fendant, Longabaugh, to 180 inches for fluming wood, lumber and ice from large tracts of timber lands owned by him, and for domestic use and irrigating garden on forty acres at Ophir.

initiated a half century ago, and the same is true regarding the claims of these defendants. The record affords a glimpse of pioneer history at a period previous to the admission of its tice Act as quoted, enacts: "The dis- State into the Union, and portrays quartz mills and the rise and decline of towns by the banks of the stream the waters of which are here in litiga tion. One witness testified that the They shall each exercise and perform Hawkins ditch, now known as the upper Twaddle ditch, was completed in 1857, and that he turned the water into it that year. Others stated that shall have power to transact business water was running in the ditch and

Witnesses appeared to sustain, and

others to dispute plaintiffs' right as

On behalf of the defendant other witnesses testified that they were over the ground and saw no ditch and that none existed there during those earlier years. It is unnecessary for us to detail the conflicting portions of the evidence. These were carefulfully considered by the district court, and for the reasons stated in its decision, enforced by statements in deeds and that Judge Murphy became the made many years before any controversy arose, the finding that this ditch was constructed and a prior appropriation of water made through it in 1857 finds ample support. At first on the Twaddle ranch land was plowed for only a garten and a small piece of grain and but little hay was cut. A reasonable time was allowed in which water that would flow through he ditch and the quantity of land irri-Twaddle ditch was constructed from interefere with the prior rights, Ophir Creek at some time prior to 1869 and runs to and irrigates the t is shown that since that year at

weight to the later section. Appar- materially increase their operop in-

to prevent the granting of extensions Theodore winters will led upon the and the meddling of judges in cases stand that during the last ten or fif- h which they had not tried or which teen yeas e had ee using twice as the plaintiff, because he ran ther were rendered. were not properly under their control, much water from Ophir Creek in ad- water in his flume past their dittal in the recent case of Kansas v. Coloand yet in the case of the absence or dition to tha for other streams, as and into one owned by Winters, and rado before the Supreme Court of the inability of the judge who tried the he used during the first ten years that joined with the other defendants in United States, Congressman Needham action, to grant relief, or allow ex- he cultivated his lands. As he claims answering and resisting the rights of testified that irrigation had double 1 tensions to be made to deserving liti- and uses more than the plaintiffs, we plaintiffs. The decree does not pre- and trebled the value of property it conclude that this large increase in vent him from taking any water in Fresno and King countres, Califor-The argument advanced concedes his diversion of the vaters of the treek in excess of the amount nia,, that they nad to depart from the that if Judge Murphy had gone to streams since the completion of their awarded to plaintiffs. Nor does it in acctrine of riparian rights and under Reno and entered the order in open oppropriation which has remained any way interefere with the water be that dectrine it would be difficult or court it would have been good, but un- stationary may account for the short.

and made it, it would have been void, court the district judge, accompanied Orders extending the time for filings by a civil engineer who had testified invalidate the orders extending time 100 feet of old flume remaining up inches of water which he had meas- and that it amounts to a sale of a such times as may be necessary flume, and he estimated that the old least the privilege to that extent of so make it only in the cases tried by ches. From his examination of the or might appropriate. Later, the depremises and the character of the soil fendant Theodore Winters, acquired the court was of the opinion that the the Bowers Mansion and grounds plaintiffs received, and were entitled through conveyances which did not to, at least the amount of water they mention any interest in this ditch, it had flowing in the flume at the time does not appear that Lake or his he made the evamination, and he dapose of enabling them to secure from creed them a prior right to 184 miners the court reporter who had left the inches running under a four inch. each year, and 20 inches or 2-5 of one cubic foot per second for domestic use and watering stock at other could have made an order granting times. It is claimed the amount atlowed is not warranted by the evithe State, and as during his absence dence because more than the canaci-Judge Murphy was requested by the ty of the upper Twaddle ditch as Court minutes to attend to all busi- shown by the testimony mentioned fixing it at 189 inches at the point above the mansion, and at 150 inches contribution towards its repair the again to 1900

> It is not necessary to determine whether the court on its own examination and measurement may allow a quantity beyond the range of the evidence, nor whether the surveyor could actually estimate the cannoity of the 100 feet of old flume without the water that entered it, nor whether the variation of one part in ninet :swampy. The quantity of water all twelve in this regard. eral, both for irrigation and for do- along the banks of Ophir Creek were half and three fifths of an inch of for the plaintiffs, farmers from the vicinity varied in their estimates of the amount necessary from one and one half to three and one half inches per acre.

The evidence indicated that the plaintiffs had used as much water as that awarded to them and more, and had uniformly produced good crops Much of their land is sandy with cousiderable slope. After examining the soil and viewing the quantity of water as it ran on the premises, the court agreed with the testimony of the plaintiffs that that amount was necessarv and adopted a mean between the highest and lowest estimates. The quantity of water requisite va.:ies greatly with the soil, seasons, crops, and conditions, and we cannot

say that the allowance is excessive. Alexander Twaddle testified that there were times during the summer evidently short periods after the land had been irrigated, when it was not necessary to use as much as the pracosions and whenever it is not neelany beneficial use for it, and not permitted to waste. It may be implied by the law, but it is better to have this case, in view of the testimony stated and of the perpetual injunction, a beneficial use at such times as it is needed, Gotelli v. Cardelli. The be changed if such change does not

Under the testimeny of Alexander Twaddle that the irrigating season eastern portion of the plaintiffs' ranch closes about the first of October, and cally the same state of custivation should limit plaintiffs right for inand irrigation that they were in at the rigating purposes to October 15th, ment of the riparian principle, time of the commencement of this This may allow defendant Longa action, and that during that period baugh to flume wood a month earlier plaintiffs' used all the water they at this season when the water is low.

Ophir Creek and take out lower down

father and predecessor in interest of the same court on subsequent occathe plaintiffs, conveyed to M. C. Lake sions, and that the doctrine of prior "one-third of that certain water ditch appropriation and the application of and flume known as the Twaddle water to a beneficial use is in effect ditch, leading from what is now in force now in that State. known as the Ophir Creek to the land privilege of running water through 1860. said flume and ditch to what is known as the Bowers Mansion or ground 2, proportion to their interests in same. grantors ever made any use of the ditch or ever contributed towards its repair.

Alexander Twaddle stated on the stand that be did not claim all this ditch and that the plaintiffs owned two thirds of it. Whether under this deed the one-third interest in the ditch became appurtenant to the Bowers land when it was nevo; used for its irrigation, and later reserve with the land without being mentioned, and whether after the lapse of twenty-five years without any use or grantee of Lake has a third interes | was 1 ... as a co-owner in the ditch and that part of the flume which has not becasuperceeded by the new one built by plaintiffs, are questions which we need not determine, for they, and that part of the judgment of the court sive use of the upper Twaddle Ditch knowing the volume and velocity of and Flume," are not within the allegations of the pleadings which con-

ducted because in excess of the cap- water through either or both the Semi-Annual Set. State Treas 531 78 acity of the upper ditch and dup a had ditches running to their lands. They fore the construction of the V dome would have that right in the upper a 1900 to supported by the mading of ditch if their interest in it is only thirty-one years before the commence fendants in the lower ditch, but ment of this suit used a nortion of whether the grantee of Lake owns the water through the tower- was and can assert a right to an undividle ditch. It is urged that 184 inches ded one-third interest, is a question tion of plaintiffs' ranch and that this mansion, and one which ought not s osnocially so because a few of their to be determined by the judgment in 170.45 acres of cultivated land lies the absence of any issue or allegation above the upper ditch from Onbir concerning it. The defendance speci-Creek and a small portion is natura'ty fically excepted to finding number

mestic use and watering stock. En- issued to their grantors before the gineers and others testified that one passage of the Act of Congress of July 26, 1866 and it is asserted that Co School Fund Dist. 4.....24 00 water per acre was sufficient, while for this reason a vested Common State School fund, Dist. 1. 2605 00 Law riparian right to the flow of the waters of Opnir Creek accraed of which they could not be deprived by that Act If this were crae defendants State School fund, Dist 4 ... 165 00 might as well be considered under Special building5850 00 the circumstances shown to have lost School library, No. 2........86 0/ that right by acquiescence in the continued diversion of the water by p ath tiffs for a period many times longer than that provided by the statute of limitations, but in this contention counsel is in error. We do not wish to consider seriously or at length an argument by which it is sought to have us over-rule well reasoned decisions of long standing in this and other arid states, and in the Supreme Court of the United States, such as Jones v. Adams, Reno Samplin Works v. Stevenson and Broder .. Water Co., declaring that this statute was rather the voluntary recognition of a pre-existing right to water con-more and more apparent that the law Co. School Dist. 1, fund. .7638 2214 ed by the plaintiffs it should be turn- of ownersnip of water by prior ap ed to the defendants, if they have propriation for a beneficial purpose is essential under our climatic conditions to the general welfare, and that Co. School Dist. 3, fund. 425 a5 the Common Law regarding the flow fecrees specify, and especially so in of streams which may be unobjection able in such localities as the Britis Isles and the coast of Oregon, Washthat the award of water is limited to ington and northern California where rains are frequent and fogs and winds State School Dist 4, fund..... 19 25 laden with mist from the accen pre- Agl. Assn. Fund A..........680 82% able under our sunny skies where the lands are so arid that irrigation 18 required for the production of the crops necessary for the support and prosperity of the people. Irrigation that sometimes he used water a little, is the life of our important and inleast their lands have been in practi- later, we think probably the decree creasing agricultural interests which would be strangled by the enforce-

Congress is appropriating millions for storage and distribution and our needed from Ophir Creek without in- and allow Winters more for watering vantages of conserving the water Apply at Adam Bay, Silver City, Ner. the enactment, and construe it in the terruption except in 1887, 1898 and stock without material injury to the above for use in irrigation instead of

plaintiffs. Although his flume was having it flow by lands of riparian dinary rules of practice, and give due appears that the plaintiffs' had not elected many years ago Longabauga owners to finally waste by sinking and n t show any prior appropriation evaporating in the desert. The Carl and the decree properly enjoins him fornia decisions cited for appellants inter ereing with the part of may no longer be considered good a er of Ophir Creek awa ded to law even in the state in which they

sources. This he may turn into there has been a departure from the principles laid down in Lux v. Haggin, provided he does not diminish the because at that time the value of flow to which plaintiffs are entitled. | water was not realized, that the deci-On May 30, 1877, John Twaddle, the soin has been practically reversed by

of said Twaddle, southerly from said fendants the waters of the stream at We must decline to award the decreek through the lands of C. F. riparian proprietors and patentees of Wooten and M. C. Lake, with the the land along its banks prior to

> The case will be remanded for a new trial unless there is filed on the consent that the judgment be modiof water awarded to the plainting, to the irrigation of their crops or lands or for other beneficial purposes, between April 15 and October 15 of sach year, and by allowing plaintiffs for the remainder of the time the 20 inches awarded to them, when necessary for their household, domestic and stock purposes, and by striking from the decree the words:

"It is frether o dered adjudged and decreed that said plaintiffs have the exclusive right to use and the exclusive use of said Upper Twaddle Ditch

and Flume at all seasons of the year. ' If such consent is so filed the aistrict court will modify the judgment accordingly and as so modified th3 judgment and decree will stand affirm-

Talbot, J. We concur: Fitzgerald, C. J.

Norcre -0-0-Quarterly Report. Ormsby County, Nevada.

Receipts. Filed Feb. 1, 1906. Balane in County Treasury at end of last quarter ... \$40023 36 Gaming licenses 1057 50 Liquor licenses310 20 of, or a third or any interest in the Rent of county bidg.......250 03 450 miners inches running under a six of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and plant of the apropriation of water of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the apropriation of water of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the apropriation of water of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the apropriation of water of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the apropriation of water of the water of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the apropriation of water of the water of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the apropriation of water of the water of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the appropriation of water of the water of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the appropriation of water of the water of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the appropriation of water of the water of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the appropriation of water of the water of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and that of the appropriation of water of the water of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and the counter of the appropriation of water of the water of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in and the counter of the appropriation of water of the counter of the water of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in a counter of the counter of the counter of the water of the counter o Delinquent taxes..... 23 80 5 61.077 36% Total Disbursements.

> Agl Assn. Bond Fund, Series Agl. Assn. Bond Fund, Series lowed by the decree seems very lib- Patents for defendants' lands lying | Co. School Fund. Dist. 1.....388 95 Co. School fund, Dist. 2.....151 20 Co. School fund Dist. 3...... 30 70 State school fund, Dist 2...169 09 State School fund, dist.3 ... 120 00

Re pitulation. Cash in Treasury October 1905 Receipts from Oct. 1st to Dec Disbursements from Oct. 1st to Dec 30, 190521968 5934

Total

Balonce cash in County Treas. January 1, 1906......39108 7753 H. DIETERICH,

21,968 59%

County Auditor, Recapitulation Co, School Dist. 2, fund.....139 64 Co. School Dist. 3, fund 190 2614 State School Dist. 1, fund...1608 06 State School Dist. 2, fund.....77 51 State School Dist. 2, fund...371 39 State School Dist. 3, fund...371 3) Agl. Assn Fund Special...1918 94 Ce. School Dist. fund - special

......13735 90 Co. School Dist. fund 1, library Co School Dist, fund 3, library

...... R 5. Co. School Dist fund 4, library 6 10

35188 77% Total H. B. VAN ETTEN County Treasurer Sin al .